

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,682	03/03/2004	Martin LeVan	2286	
75	590 09/08/2006		EXAMINER	
James C. Wray			LABBEES, EDNY	
Suite 300 1493 Chain Bridge Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
McLean, VA 22101			2612	
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/790,682	LEVAN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Edny Labbees	2612		

	Edity Edobood	2012	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ac	Idress
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITI	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the fol places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complia time periods:	lowing replies: (1) an amend Notice of Appeal (with appea ance with 37 CFR 1.114. The	lment, affidavit, or other evid al fee) in compliance with 37	ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing d	ate of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of thin no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire.	e later than SIX MONTHS from	the mailing date of the final rejection	ction.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPER	706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lath any reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 NOTICE OF APPEAL	extension and the corresponding the shortened statutory period for the than three months after the	g amount of the fee. The approreply originally set in the final O	priate extension fee iffice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in co filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any example a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	tension thereof (37 CFR 41	.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	nths of the date of the appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejectio (a) They raise new issues that would require further (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE by	consideration and/or search elow);	(see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or			g the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling		finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1		of Non-Compliant Amendmer	nt (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	- •		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). 			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> .			n explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of fili entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed t showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess 	o overcome <u>all</u> rejections un ary and was not earlier pres	der appeal and/or appellant ented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d	fails to provide a)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explana REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	tion of the status of the clair	ns after entry is below or atta	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered	but does NOT place the app	olication in condition for allow	vance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s 13. ☐ Other:	s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	XV#	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH
		JEFFERY HI SUPERVISORY PATI TECHNOLOGY C	ent examiner

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendments to claims 1 and 10 pertaining to the limitation having a top and side panel extending outwardly beyond the speed indicator support and forming a hood for screening the numerical speed indicators from sunlight, and a cover hingedly attached to the casing bae panel for selectively closing the front of the casing requires further search and consideration. Since the amendment is after the final rejection, the amendments will not be considered.